

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

ver/

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION N	O. F.	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/801,789 03/16/2004		03/16/2004	Andy Yu	M-16550 US	3122		
32605	7590	10/11/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
		WOK CHEN & HE	MAI, A	MAI, ANH D			
2033 GATEWAY PLACE SUITE 400				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SAN JOSE, CA 95110				2814			
				DATE MAILED: 10/11/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	·
10/801,789	YU ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Anh D. Mai	2814	

	Anh D. Mai	2814	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence add	dress
THE REPLY FILED <u>14 August 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or this application, applicant must timely file one of the fo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complitime periods:	on the same day as filing a Notic llowing replies: (1) an amendmen Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee	e of Appeal. To avoid abo t, affidavit, or other evide ) in compliance with 37 C	nce, which CFR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expired Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPE	is Advisory Action, or (2) the date set re later than SIX MONTHS from the m or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN P 706.07(f).	ailing date of the final reject I THE FIRST REPLY WAS I	tion. FILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dhave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office I may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704 NOTICE OF APPEAL	f extension and the corresponding am he shortened statutory period for reply ater than three months after the mailir	ount of the fee. The approper originally set in the final Off	riate extension fee fice action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in confiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ean Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.</li> </ol>	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e	)), to avoid dismissal of tl	hs of the date of ne appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS			
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE begins)</li> </ol>	consideration and/or search (see elow);	NOTE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in appeal; and/or	better form for appeal by material	ly reducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR		y rejected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR		n-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection		T Compliant / imonamon	(1.02.02.1).
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	e allowable if submitted in a separ		
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): how the new or amended claims would be rejected is The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		will be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>See Office Action mailed 5/15/2006</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	but before or on the date of filing and sufficient reasons why the af	a Notice of Appeal will <u>n</u> fidavit or other evidence	ot be entered is necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of fil entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is neces</li> </ol>	to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under a	ippeal and/or appellant fa	ails to provide a
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explana REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	ation of the status of the claims af	ter entry is below or attac	hed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered	but does NOT place the applicat	ion in condition for allowa	ince because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement( 13. Other:	s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	- Maidir	
		ANH D. MAI	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendement has altered the scope of the claims by adding new matter into the claims, particularly, the limitation "an oxide-nitride-oxide layer", since oxide-nitride-oxide is well known as a stacked of three layers.